

**PORTISHEAD TOWN COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE PLANNING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE HELD
ON WEDNESDAY 4TH APRIL 2018**

PRESENT:

- In the Chair - Councillor Burden
- Councillors - Councillor Cottrell, Mitchell,
M.Cruse, R.Cruse, Huffadine-cooper, Koops,
Oyns, Mason (arrives 7.34pm)
- Assistant Clerk - S.Sherborne

There were approximately 11 members of the public present and no Press representatives were in attendance.

Councillor Burden welcomed everyone. He explained that there were no fire drills expected and advised that the meeting was being recorded. He asked that all speakers use the microphones provided.

PL609 APOLOGIES

Received from Councillors Clark, Lord and Pasley.

PL610 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillors Oyns stated that any views he expressed on matters to also be considered at North Somerset Council would be provisional and based on the facts currently known. He would be exercising his rights to reconsider the matter afresh when the matter went before North Somerset Council.

Assistant Clerk note: After the meeting had closed Councillor Mason recorded a personal declaration of interest in the following item as she is associated with the Farmers Market:

**CONSULTATION 5.5 STREET TRADING LICENCE – EAT
PORTISHEAD**

PL611 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mrs Davey a local resident spoke in respect to the potential development on Bristol Road and asked for clarification and answers to the following questions:

1. Are Lenton Lane (LLE) involved with Clifton Rugby Club and if so in what capacity?
2. Did Councillor Mitchell meet John Pyle through Lenton Lane?
3. As a result of the skatepark poll Councillor Mitchell had plans drawn up for a skatepark, did Councillor Mitchell fund the plans?
4. Mr Pyle mentioned in the meeting that there would need to be a quid pro quo in order for a developer to take on building a sports centre e.g. residential. The figure mentioned was 18 million pounds is this correct?
5. Is Mr Pyle an authorised agent to act on behalf of Portishead Town Council and will he be showing other developers the plan in order to get the right deal?
6. Will Mr Pyle take a percentage of the deal from developers in recompense of putting the deal together?
7. Councillor Mitchell has given the impression by a lack of discussion that there shouldn't be a problem in getting planning permission from North Somerset Council to use the greenbelt on Bristol Road for such a development, is this correct?
8. Why did Portishead Town Council decide to use the first agent that came along? Did they apply any of the principles of good governance and financial probity?

Mrs Davey understood that Councillor Mitchell had rented the Folk Hall to share his plans to receive public feedback and that John Pyle had spoken, advising that there would be no cost to Portishead Town Council.

She is aware that residents are continually giving Portishead Town Council their concern about the use of Bristol Road for such a sports centre as this road is already over used. They have also questioned how the whole thing will be paid for.

Assistant Clerk note: *Councillor Mason arrives.*

Mrs Davey gave her concern about the existing infrastructure being inadequate and the potential for this to be a gateway for opening up development of the Gordano Valley.

Mr Hardman a local resident spoke in relation to:

1. Planning application 18/P/2471/FUH being duplicated on the agenda.
2. Planning application 18/P/2591/EA1. He assumed that Portishead Town Council would be objecting.
3. Who owns Lake Road?
4. When Down Road would be resurfaced, as indicated on a previous Planning agenda?
5. The bushes on the Portbury Hundred are encroaching onto the road, can North Somerset Council be contacted to tackle the problem?
6. The terrible surface of Bristol Road.

7. He has received three sustainability reports relating to Portishead and all are incorrect as they refer to a railway station within 3/5km. There is no mention that the GP surgeries and schools are full.
8. The land at Gordano Gate and that it should be kept as employment land.

Mrs Bennett spoke about:

1. The poor quality of the halls sound system and asked all speakers to sit closer to their microphones. She reported that she had to view a previous meeting recording by an alternative source on social media.
2. Neighbourhood plan. She urged the Town Council to create a plan to show that it has properly consulted with residents of the town.
3. Bristol Road. Damage being caused to cars due to the potholes.

Councillor Burden sought the agreement of the committee to hear Mr Mike Amos speak during public participation as he is not a resident of Portishead.

Mr Amos spoke in respect to agenda item 5.5 Street Trading Licence – Eat Portishead. Mr Amos is the secretary for North Somerset Farmers Market (a not-for-profit cooperative) and he and Councillor Mason met with the applicants with a view to changing the date to avoid clashing with the balloon festival and to coincide with Bristol Food Festival on 9th June. Also, to change the venue from the marina to the Flower Show Field for better access, parking and safety. He urged the Town Council to consider all the facts when responding to the consultation, including the problems of access via Newfoundland Road.

Mr Amos invited all Town Councillors to the 10th Anniversary of the Farmers Market on Saturday 9th June, in particular the residing Chairman of the Town Council to cut the cake.

Councillor Burden asked Councillor Mason to report back to Town Council on 11th April 2018.

These minutes summarise what was said during public participation and were views expressed by the speaker, not the Town Council.

The Chairman changed the order of the meeting.

PL612 SECTION 2 – PLANNING MATTERS (RECOMMENDATIONS TO TOWN COUNCIL)

5.2 BUS SERVICE IN THE TOWN

Chris Hanson and James Freeman of First West of England introduced themselves and were available to answer questions regarding the service provided in the town.

The meeting were informed that the buses operating through the town are run commercially and not supported. Double decker buses are

used as the route requires them, often they are filled to capacity at the start of the journey.

A local resident, namely Mr Bishop, had provided questions in advance of the meeting and Mr Freeman gave his response, as follows in italics:

1. Does the 2.5m width of vehicle currently used overly sized for Portishead roads? *Yes and no, what is over sized? There is an increasing amount of traffic and parking on roads. The narrowest bus available is 1.96m and the double decker buses currently being used are the shortest by width and length in their fleet.*
2. Why do first Bus vehicles have to mount the kerbing when they meet oncoming vehicles (images were provided)? *Whilst this is not favourable and regrets any damage, often this is because they meet cars that won't or can't move.*
3. Is he aware of the damage First Bus vehicles are causing to the Portishead infrastructure? *In terms of the marina, it is becoming increasingly difficult for buses to pass through, in the last two weeks the service to this area has been withdrawn three times. First Bus regrets any damage and will be looking very closely at this route, which seems to be impractical.*
4. What are First Bus intentions to rectify this situation? That can only be described as "total madness"? *Will be looking at the marina route, which they may decide to withdraw.*
5. Do those within First Bus that route the vehicles physically visit the routes? *Yes, in fact Chris has even driven a bus through the route on several occasions.*
6. A question you will have seen before via your CEO. Would you put up with this behaviour outside of your property? *Accepts that there is a problem and are trying to find a solution.*
7. After bringing this to your attention for over 12 months would you agree that this is now corporate anti-social behaviour? *Did not agree that this was corporate anti-social behaviour.*

It was understood that there had been a problem for the bus travelling around Phoenix and Newfoundland Way recently due to parked cars, which resulted in the bus serving the marina area being temporarily withdrawn three times in two weeks. On one occasion the bus had to be moved out by the police due to an obstruction. It was established that the introduction of double yellow lines on Newfoundland Way/Harbour Road junction may improve the situation in one particular area of the marina. Unfortunately that part of the road has still not been adopted by North Somerset Council as it is sub-standard. It could cost the local authority a significant amount of money if it were to adopt it in its present condition.

A discussion took place regarding a bus base where buses coming from Bristol could stop in Portishead (potentially at the Railway terminus) and an 'around the town' shuttle service so train users could leave their cars at home. James Freeman believed that this would result in no service to the top of Portishead in the long-term and if

smaller buses were used around the town it would not be a sustainable commercial service. In his opinion a shuttle bus may also require funding.

Mr Freeman believed that First Bus could work with a third party to provide a smaller bus option around the town.

As the bus route may be changed to run along Harbour Road and not Newfoundland/Phoenix Way it was felt inappropriate to move the current redundant bus stop Portishead Town Council has on Harbour Road to an alternative site for the time being.

Councillor Mason asked who made the decision not to run the buses up to the top of Portishead during the ice and snow and how it kept its users informed of when the services would/not be running. Chris Hanson confirmed that he made the decision as they had one bus stuck on Avon Way for fourteen hours. They provided hourly updates about the service by social media: Facebook, Twitter, Instagram and its website. They almost tripled their number of followers during this period.

Mr Hardman a local resident proposed that Portishead Town Council writes to North Somerset Council (NSC) to stress the severity of the situation and ask NSC to force Persimmon to rectify the problem. Furthermore, buses waiting on Combe Road cause a bottle neck of traffic. Mrs Williams a local resident proposed moving the bus stop from Combe Road up to Rippleside on Avon Way where there is a dedicated bus stop and pull in? Chris Hanson confirmed that he would go away and review this again but Combe Road bus stop is a landmark for people who want to catch the bus, not everyone would know where Rippleside is and you wouldn't be able to see it from Combe Road.

Councillor Koops noted that the bus cannot turn left from Combe Road onto the High Street without going onto the opposite side of the road in front of the precinct and stopping oncoming traffic. Chris Hanson is aware of the matter and will be discussing this particular junction when he next meets with NSC.

Councillor Oyns noted that the roads within the marina were built at a time that the government (John Prescott) determined the width of roads and the ratio was 1:3 cars per household. He referred to this as being victims of history.

Councillor Burden thanked both James Freeman and Chris Hanson for coming along to the meeting to engage with residents and councillors. He also proposed that First Bus have the opportunity to update residents and councillors within the next six months but that this may not necessarily be during a formal Town Council Planning meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

To invite James Freeman and Chris Hanson to a meeting in the future to provide an update.

SECTION 1 – TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE

PL613

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

18/P/2469/FUH NEWCOME, BATTERY LANE, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7JD

Proposed extensions and alterations to dwelling

The meeting noted that there were no on line objections.

RESOLVED THAT

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours

Vote recorded: majority in favour

18/P/2471/FUH 25 SOUTH ROAD, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7DU
Proposed 2 storey side extension with proposed new rooflight and first floor bathroom window to existing dwelling

The meeting noted that there were no on line objections.

RESOLVED THAT

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours

Vote recorded: all in favour

18/P/2396/FUL 41 HIGH STREET, PORTISHEAD, BS20 6AA
Re-decorate shopfront white RAL 9010
Re-decorate stallrisers Grey RAL 7012

Whilst welcoming the development by Boots, Councillor M Cruse asked for a condition to be stipulated on any planning consent to safeguard the words "Postal, Telegraph and Office" that are inscribed on the end of the exterior wall adjoining no. 39. He believed that these words were probably put there in the reign of Victoria or Edward VII when 41 High Street was the post office for Portishead.

RESOLVED THAT

No objection subject to the restoration of the words "Postal, Telegraph and Office" that are inscribed on the end of the exterior wall adjoining no. 39.

Vote recorded: majority in favour

18/P/2397/ADV 41 HIGH STREET, PORTISHEAD, BS20 6A

Advertising consent for 1 No. illuminated fascia sign and 1No. illuminated projected sign

RESOLVED THAT

No objection subject to the restoration of the words "Postal, Telegraph and Office" that are inscribed on the end of the exterior wall adjoining no. 39.

Vote recorded: majority in favour

18/P/2591/EA1 LAND AT GORDANO GATE, SERBERT WAY, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7GA

Request for a formal screening opinion as to whether an Environmental Impact Assessment is required to be submitted with an application for The erection of 2 no. buildings providing 96 no. extra care units

RESOLVED THAT

Supports the need for an Environmental Impact Assessment to be undertaken prior to the submission of any planning application.

Vote recorded: majority in favour

18/P/2347/FUL LIDL, HARBOUR ROAD, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7DE

Retention of parking camera system and associated external cabinets.

The meeting discussed parking time constraints in neighbouring business car parks and the restriction for disabled drivers. It is understood that some of the parking in this area was earmarked for the railway terminus and that there was no planning consent given for the original installation of the parking camera system or the signage that has been installed.

RESOLVED THAT

No objection

Vote recorded: majority in favour, Councillor Cottrell objected

**18/P/2348/ADV LIDL, HARBOUR ROAD, PORTISHEAD, BS20
7DE**

Retention of 29 non illuminated parking signs mounted on lighting
Columns and signage poles

RESOLVED THAT

No objection but the permitted parking time should be increased to 3
hours so that it is compatible with other parking levels nearby.

Vote recorded: majority in favour, Councillor Cottrell objected

**18/P/2555/FUH 6 WOODHILL AVENUE, PORTISHEAD, BS20
7EX**

Build over the existing garage to form a 2 storey extension. Conversion
of the existing rear section of the double length garage

RESOLVED THAT

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours.

Vote recorded: majority in favour

18/P/2567/FUH 5 FENNEL ROAD, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7AR

Proposed loft conversion

RESOLVED THAT

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours.

Vote recorded: majority in favour

**18/P/2664/LDP 16 LIPGATE PLACE, CLEVEDON ROAD,
PORTISHEAD, BS20 6QN**

Lawful development Certificate for the proposed single-storey rear
extension with roof lights over.

RESOLVED THAT

No comment

Vote recorded: majority in favour

**18/P/2750/TPO THE WATCH HOUSE, 70A NORE ROAD,
PORTISHEAD, BS20 8DU**

G1 - Alder (3no) - Crown reduction by 2.5m. G2 - Cypress (3no) -
Crown reduction of 3.0m

RESOLVED THAT

2469tops of the alders are visible from the road and all the trees are easily seen from the parking area of the nursing home, which can't be regarded as private space. This application has G1 and G2 on the plans and they denote different groups of trees. The cypress trees (G2) should be reduced down to the level of the top of the adjoining beech hedge so that the cypresses become part of the hedge but that the alders should not be touched. This property appears to have a lot of trees and some TPO work has been done in the past. Some of the other cypresses have had their tops cut off and are very ugly.

**SECTION 2 – PLANNING MATTERS (RECOMMENDATIONS TO
TOWN COUNCIL)**

PL614 OTHER PLANNING MATTERS

5.1 LATE PLANNING APPLICATIONS & CONSULTATIONS

18/P/2735/TPO 48 THE DEANS, PORTISHEAD, BS20 6DZ

Oak Tree - Remove Dead Wood, Crown Raise over lawn and next door by 3m, Thin Crown by 15% and reduce over extended lateral branches from next door by 2.5m

The meeting discussed that it had received comments from one of Portishead Town Council's Tree Wardens and that it was happy to submit his comments.

RECOMMENDATION

Objects and supports - this application relates to a large mature oak tree that appears in good health, which is one of several and looks as though they form part of an old field boundary separating The Deans from The Downs. All these trees have in the past had their crowns reduced. The last time this tree was reduced was in 2010 so a similar reduction now would likely be seen as repeat management. The tree affects at least 4 gardens so the rest of the application is not specific enough and should probably be declined as "next door" is not explained in the application. We would expect North Somerset Council's Tree Officer to be assured of exactly which branches would be removed as part of a crown raise, the whole aesthetic of the tree could be ruined as much of it's spread comes from quite low

down. Portishead Town Council's Tree Warden is happy to meet with the owners and have a chat if that would help.

18/P/2756/FUH 214 DOWN ROAD, PORTISHEAD, BS20 8DG
Removal of existing rear conservatory and its replacement by a new rear extension.

RECOMMENDATION

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours

17/P/5334/FUH 42 PHOENIX WAY, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7JX
Retrospective application for a steel flue on the external side wall, one metre above roof level.

RECOMMENDATION - No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours

18/P/2553/FUL LAND OFF SERBERT WAY, PORTISHEAD
Erection of an extra care development, comprising 96no. one and two bedroom units and 1no. guest suite; associated access, parking and landscaping (use Class C2)

The meeting noted that there were 51 attachments for consideration and that Portishead Town Council's consultation period for this particular plan would end on 24th April, so the application could not go to the next Planning meeting unless it gets a time extension from the Case Officer. In the interim the comments Portishead Town Council submitted to Anchor's own consultation should be submitted to North Somerset Council.

The comments from one of Portishead Tree Wardens were noted: "as long as any developer of this site abides by this report in its entirety, the tree structure of Portishead should be improved because the only sick tree will be removed. In addition they will be removing ivy choking existing trees which will then be protected by standard protective fencing".

RECOMMENDATION

1. Portishead Town Council submits to North Somerset Council the comments it previously made to Anchor in respect to its consultation: "Portishead Town Council supports North Somerset Council in its Local Plan that this land should be maintained for employment use. Portishead Town Council believes that further significant residential development in the Town will be damaging to the community unless there is significant improvement to our infrastructure. Such

improvements should include the re-opening of the passenger railway service and the resilience of the road network to junction 19 M5, as well as education and medical facilities. The Town Council will continue to support appropriate development, but inappropriate conversions of retail and employment land to residential purposes and changes of use of empty office blocks to housing will be strongly opposed. We call upon the planning authority to use all its powers to protect such planning designations, including marking Article 4 Directives”.

2. Portishead Town Council writes to the Case Officer (David Tate) to request a time extension to enable the Planning committee to discuss the application on 2nd May 2018 so that it can add further detailed information to the above comment (1 above)

18/P/2586/FUH 31 MEAD ROAD, PORTISHEAD, BS20 6RZ
Demolition of side conservatory & erection of 2 storey side extension with single storey section to the side of the porch

RECOMMENDATION

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours.

18/P/2688/FUH 22 SEAVIEW ROAD, PORTISHEAD, BS20 8HL
First floor enlarged loft addition over existing bungalow and attached garage, single storey rear extension with raised terrace and new front porch

RECOMMENDATION

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours.

18/P/2702/FUH 49 THE FINCHES, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7NE
Single storey side extension and two storey rear extension

RECOMMENDATION

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours.

18/P/2717/FUH 59 BROCK END, PORTISHEAD, BS20 8LS
Proposed single storey rear extension.

RECOMMENDATION

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours.

18/P/2734/FUH 10 SOUTH VIEW, PORTISHEAD, BS20 7DP
Demolition of existing ground floor bathroom & first floor rear roof.
Construction of new ground floor kitchen & dining extension.
Construction of new gable roof to rear.

RECOMMENDATION

No objection subject to no valid objection from neighbours.

NHS ENGLAND – PHARMACEUTICAL CONSULTATION

The meeting noted a previous email circulated on 3rd April from NHS England providing the responses to the consultation and a link to the new PNA assessment for consideration before 17th April 2018.

<http://www.n-somerset.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/North-Somerset-pharmaceutical-needs-assessment-2018.pdf>

RECOMMENDATION

No recommendation was made.

EDUCATION PROVISION IN NORTH SOMERSET – A COMMISSIONING STRATEGY 2018-2021

The meeting noted that this consultation was not received in time for inclusion on the Planning Agenda when it was published, details had since been emailed by the Town Clerk. This is due to close on 21st April 2018 and full details can be found http://consult.n-somerset.gov.uk/consult.ti/EducationProvision2018_2021/consultationHome

RECOMMENDATION

That a Working Party be formed to respond to the consultation.

5.3.1 HIGHWAYS UPDATE & PARKING

No items were raised for discussion.

RECOMMENDATION

No recommendation was made.

5.3.2 ROAD SPEED REVIEW

The meeting noted that a map showing roads in Portishead and a slip for people to make any proposals for roads they wish to be included is provided in the entrance foyer to the Folk Hall. It was proposed that this consultation remain open until Friday 4th May 2018

RECOMMENDATION

That a map and proposal forms be made available in the entrance hall to the Folk Hall until Friday 4th May 2018

Assistant Clerk note: should this consultation be included on Portishead Town Council's website?

5.4 NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING

An update may be offered by a member of the Working Party (Councillors Mitchell/Burden/Koops/Mason).

Councillor Burden informed the meeting that the Working Party, which now includes Councillor Cottrell (at her request), met on 28th March. It was felt that a Neighbourhood Plan may not be appropriate for the town as it would need to be in conformity with the District Council's Planning Policies, which are ever changing. A proposal from the Working Party was put forward to provide a Vision not a plan, to guide expenditure within the town and future plans.

It was agreed that the Assistant Clerk would email this proposal to Town Councillors in advance of the Town Council meeting and the Minutes from the Working Party (28/04/18) would be circulated to members.

RECOMMENDATION

For a Working Party to be formed to look into creating a Vision to guide expenditure and future plans within the town to achieve the desired infrastructure for the benefit and wellbeing of the community. The concept being community led infrastructure with employment, housing, multi-sports and leisure facilities at its heart. The expectation is to enable a high quality environment to secure the wellbeing of our community.

5.5 STREET TRADING LICENCE – EAT PORTISHEAD

The meeting noted the application that had been submitted to North Somerset Council to consider food and refreshments being sold at a market on a Saturday between 10.00 and 17.00 hours at the Quayside,

Portishead Marina. It would appear that the proposed date (11/08/18) is not recorded on the application form.

It was agreed that the matter be deferred to Town Council on 11th April 2018 before which time the date can be verified with North Somerset Council, unless a response is required from Portishead Town Council beforehand and if so the following comment be made: “concerned about this event in isolation and would like to see it fully coordinated with other events in the Town”.

RECOMMENDATION

<p>The matter be deferred to Town Council on 11th April 2018 before which time the date can be verified with North Somerset Council, unless a response is required from Portishead Town Council beforehand and if so the following comment be made: “concerned about this event in isolation and would like to see it fully coordinated with other events in the Town”.</p>
--

PL615

SECTION 3 – DELEGATED CHAIRMAN DECISIONS

PLANNING APPLICATIONS AS REPORTED BY THE PLANNING & REGULATORY CHAIRMAN

TREE APPLICATIONS AS REPORTED BY THE PLANNING & REGULATORY CHAIRMAN

The delegated Chairman decisions previously agreed by the core members of the Planning & Regulatory committee were noted.

SECTION 3 DELEGATED CHAIRMAN DECISIONS – FOR INFORMATION

PL615 PLANNING APPLICATIONS AS REPORTED BY THE PLANNING & REGULATORY CHAIRMAN

APPLICATION NO.	LOCATION	PROPOSAL	RECOMMENDATION
18/P/2353/FUH	11 High View Portishead Bristol BS20 8RF	Single storey rear extension	No objection subject to no valid objections from neighbours
18/P/2366/FUH	15 Spring Rise Portishead Bristol BS20 6RE	Two storey side extension and replacement cladding board to tile hanging on existing house	No objection subject to no valid objections from neighbours
18/P/2411/FUH	412 Nore Road Portishead Bristol North Somerset BS20 8HA	Single storey rear extension with roof lanterns and access decking	No objection subject to no valid objections from neighbours
18/P/2438/FUH	10 Honeylands Portishead BS20 6RB	Demolish existing side porch and erect an enlarged side porch	No objection subject to no valid objections from neighbours
18/P/2484/HHPA	10 The Park Portishead BS20 7LT	Prior approval request for the erection of a single storey rear extension with a pitched roof that would 1) extend beyond the rear wall of the original house by 5 metres; 2) have a maximum height of 2.8 metres and 3) have eaves that are 2.4 metres high	No comment
18/P/2441/FUH	31 Forester Road Portishead Bristol BS20 6UW	Proposed single storey rear extension	No objection subject to no valid objections from neighbours

18/P/2546/NMA	125 The Deans Portishead BS20 6DZ	Non material amendment to application 17/P/2362/F to allow inclusion of en suite	No comment <i>Assistant Clerk note: Unable to submit comment – decision made to approve by NSC on 20.03.18</i>
---------------	---	--	---

PL615 TREE APPLIATIONS AS REPORTED BY THE PLANNING & REGULATORY CHAIRMAN

APPLICATION NO.	LOCATION	PROPOSAL	RECOMMENDATION

PL616 OTHER PLANNING MATTERS

8.1 THE FOLLOWING NOTIFICATIONS/ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS FROM NORTH SOMERSET COUNCIL WERE NOTED:

A. ENFORCEMENT CASES

Updated list received 26th March 2018

B. DELEGATED DECISIONS – NORTH SOMERSET COUNCIL

None received.

C. PLANNING CONSENT GRANTED

18/P/2546/NMA 125 The Deans, Portishead

D. PLANNING CONSENT REFUSED

18/P/2109/TPO 3 Sage Close, Portishead,

E. PLANNING APPLICATIONS WITHDRAWN

17/P/5228/FUL Noretta, 18 Nichols Road, Portishead
18/P/2007/TPO Adlington House, 132A Slade Road, Portishead

F. PLANNING APPLICATION DEEMED PERMITTED DEVELOPMENT

18/P/2335/HHPA 27 Combe Avenue, Portishead

G. APPEAL/DECISIONS

The following appeal is allowed and planning permission is granted:

17/P/0785/F Land adjacent to 1 St Peters Road, Portishead, BS20 6QY

An appeal has been made to the Planning inspectorate against NSC's decision to refuse the following:

17/P/1683/F Aldi, Wyndham Way, Portishead

17/P/5016/FUH 25 Nore Road, Portishead

H. ROAD CLOSURE & TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER (TRO)

Please note: scheduled works may have already taken place at the point of publishing this Agenda, full details can be found at <https://northsomerset.roadworks.org/>

B3124 Clevedon Road from its junction with Clapton Lane to its junction with High Street and Bristol Road for approximately four nights (19.00-04.00hrs) with effect from 26th March 2018 – emergency drainage repairs by NSC.

Battery Lane (map attached) for one day with effect from 3rd April 2018 – delivery of a mobile crane.

Meeting closed at 9.21pm